Submission ID: 37242

I note that with regard to the applicants updated and amended submission, there is still an intention to run secondary cables along the north side of Blackpool Rd Playing fields (WN 15A15B, 53A53B and 54A54B)

Firstly, as has been admitted in verbal statements and previous documentation the applicants unwillingness to utilise a shared trench inside the airport perimeter is not down to any technical considerations or the results of survey data but that there are two distinct corporate entities involved and that they are seemingly unable or unwilling to utilise shared channels on some parts of the route. This has also been admitted by both parties land agents who attended a meeting with local residents. If as they state both corporate entities at least agree on as they purport "causing minimum disruption" within the project then why are two separate routes planned at the points stated above.

Further to this land within the outline plans and access to Fieldway (FY8 3BL) is an issue that remains unresolved. M+M's land agents state that there are no access issues to Fieldway, yet their plans suggest otherwise at the crossing point at Leach Lane. There is also a parcel of partly unadopted land and partly an area that falls under the control of Fieldway residents that remains unresolved but that falls within the plan parameters. M=M and their land agents have been contacted on three occasions and to date no reply has been forthcoming.

Finally, there has been no acknowledgment by M+M and their agents that a secondary large infrastructure project has been applied for an scheduled on airport land to the east and south east of the applicant's intended route, that being a large solar farm.

Once again, this I feel demonstrates Morgan and Morecambe and their agents lack of awareness of the local environment, their confused and ill thought reasoning and route planning and their willingness to incur and absorb significant increased costs due to issues which in another better planned, better managed project would not arise.